

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,727	01/07/2005	Benjamin Muller	BM-162PCT	9742 .
40570 FRIEDRICH K	7590 01/31/2008		EXAMINER	
317 MADISON	N AVENUE, SUITE 910		ENGLE, PATRICIA LYNN	
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/520,727	MULLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Art Unit			
	Examiner	3673			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Mark A. Williams				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ja	anuary 200 <u>5</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
AM 1					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/7/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 1-2, "etc." and "especially" rending the claim indefinite in that the metes and bounds of the claim can not be determined.

In claim 1, line 5, in the phrase "for two capacitative sensors", the term "for" seems to suggest intended use, yet the sensors are part of the invention, as can be seen from later claim language.

In claim 1, line 10, "namely" constitutes indefinite language.

In claim 1, lines 10-11, "the intermediate space" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 1, lines 11-12, in "by the one electrode (the inner electrode), there is a lack of antecedent basis for "the one electrode" and for "the inner electrode".

Prehaps this should be change to language such as --one of the two electrodes being an inner electrode--, for clarity.

Art Unit: 3676

In claim 1, lines 14-15, in "the other electrode (the outer electrode" there is a lack of antecedent basis for "the outer electrode". (Note that a closed parenthesis is missing.) Perhaps this language should be modified such as —the other of the two electrodes being an outer electrode—.

In claim 1, line 17, there is a lack of antecedent basis for "the stationary part".

In claim 1, line 20, there is a lack of antecedent basis for "the authorized person"

In claim 1, line 39, "can be" renders the claim indefinite in that it is not clear if the relavent structure is part of the invention or not.

In claim 2, in "its first function", it is not clear exactly what element "its" refers to; this appears to lack antecedent basis.

In claim 3, there is a lack of antecedent basis for "the finished folded product".

In claim 7, there is a lack of antecedent basis for "the curvature of the handle".

In claim 11, "any desired geometric pattern" is indefinite, since such structure can not be determined.

Art Unit: 3676

In claim 18, "for electrical components", the term "for" seems to suggest intended use, yet the components are part of the invention, as can be seen from later claim language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The "authorized person" is positively claimed as if part of the invention, yet a person cannot be claimed as patentable subject matter.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-24 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Williams whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 3676

(571) 272-7064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through

Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Jennifer Gay can be reached on (571) 272-7029. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system; see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to

the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or

571-272-1000.

JENNIFER H. GAY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Mark Williams 9/17/07